Preparing Spring Web Applications for Loom

Mark Thomas (he/him)
Staff Engineer, VMware
Introductions

Tomcat since 2003
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Committer, PMC member
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Java EE Expert groups for Servlet, WebSocket, Expression Language

Jakarta Servlet, Pages, WebSocket and Expression Language
Committer
“Project Loom is to intended to explore, incubate and deliver Java VM features and APIs built on top of them for the purpose of supporting easy-to-use, high-throughput lightweight concurrency and new programming models on the Java platform.”

https://wiki.openjdk.org/display/loom
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HTTP/1.0
HTTP/1.1 and keep-alive
Tomcat, blocking I/O (BIO, 3.x) and thread starvation
Tomcat, non-blocking I/O (NIO, 6.0.x / NIO2, 8.0.x)
Servlet asynchronous API and non-blocking I/O (7.0.x)
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HTTP/1.0

Connect, make request, close
One thread per connection
Maximum connections ==
Maximum concurrent requests ==
Thread pool size

Creating connections is (relatively) expensive
A Brief History of Servlet Scalability

HTTP/1.1 keep-alive

HTTP/1.0 had keep-alive with issues with interoperability
HTTP/1.1 fixed the issues
Better (lower) latency
Worse scalability
Typically uses more threads than there are concurrent requests
Thread starvation
Tomcat BIO connector disabled
HTTP keep-alive for the last 25% of threads in the thread pool
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Non-blocking I/O part 1 – between requests

Tomcat NIO / NIO2 connectors
Use non-blocking I/O while waiting for a new request
Only use a thread for connections where there is a request to be processed
Maximum connections >>
Maximum concurrent requests ==
Thread pool size
HTTP keep-alive latency benefits
Improved scalability
Use non-blocking I/O to communicate with services

Only use a thread for connections where there is a request actively being processed

Maximum connections >>

Maximum concurrent requests >

Thread pool size

Further improved scalability
Virtual Threads
Virtual Threads

Pre-Java 21 threads referred to as platform threads

Virtual threads

- Not mapped to a dedicated OS thread
- Use the heap for stack
- Created for a task and then allowed to terminate
- Do not pool virtual threads
- Have their own scheduler

Virtual thread scheduler has a pool of platform threads to do the work

- One platform thread per processor by default
Virtual Threads

Blocking operations

Platform threads

• Thread waits for operation to complete

Virtual threads

• Non-blocking operation started
• Virtual thread suspended and platform thread released
• Operations completes
• Virtual thread resumed and becomes eligible to be scheduled
• Execution continues

Virtual threads are effectively non-blocking for many blocking operations

• Increased scalability for “free”
Virtual Threads
Coding constraints

Beware of pinning
• Long lasting blocking operations are problematic
• Brief synchronized blocks are fine

ThreadLocals
• Providing context across an API boundary OK
• Caching could be problematic
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Virtual threads

Impact on throughput?
Impact on scalability?
Impact on GC?
Impact on memory footprint?
Impact of extra scheduler?
Impact on code complexity?
Impact of constraints?
Investigations

Lots of areas to explore
Areas are not independent
Try and focus on a single variable
Performance tests only ever indicative
Not meant to be representative of real applications
Java 21 is still in Early Access
This work is just a starting point
Throughput
Throughput

Aims:

• compare virtual and platform threads in same scenario
• minimise impact of other factors
• not looking to identify maximums
• relative, rather than absolute, results were primary interest

Examined:

• Different sized requests
• Different concurrencies
• Configured to minimise Tomcat and web application processing time
• Details at https://spring.io/blog/2023/02/27/web-applications-and-project-loom
Throughput Results

The bigger the response size, the less the difference Platform thread performance is worse with concurrency of 2 than it is with 1

Virtual threads have higher throughput and this is more obvious with smaller response sizes

Once concurrency exceeds processor count, virtual threads show increased throughput compared to platform threads

Tomcat’s thread pool uses LinkedBlockingQueue for the task queue by default.

The virtual thread scheduler uses a work stealing queue by default.
Throughput

Bonus results

These results are from some informal testing

• Much higher concurrency than my tests (8192 concurrent users)
• Any errors are my fault
• Any credit is due to Violeta Georgieva

Platform threads

• 3,366,303 requests with 100% within 800ms

Virtual threads

• 3,408,798 requests with 89% complete within 800ms
• 9% complete between 800ms and 1200ms
• 2% complete in more than 1200ms
Easy to Use
protected void doPost(HttpServletRequest req, HttpServletResponse resp) throws ServletException, IOException {
    resp.setContentType("text/plain");
    resp.setCharacterEncoding("UTF-8");
    ServletInputStream sis = req.getInputStream();
    byte[] buffer = new byte[8192];
    int read = -1;
    int totalBytesRead = 0;
    while ((read = sis.read(buffer)) > -1) {
        if (read > 0) {
            totalBytesRead += read;
        }
    }
    ServletOutputStream sos = resp.getOutputStream();
    String msg = "Total bytes written = " + totalBytesRead + "]";
    sos.write(msg.getBytes(StandardCharsets.UTF_8));
}
Servlet Non-blocking IO
Counting request body bytes

protected void doPost(HttpServletRequest req, HttpServletResponse resp)
    throws ServletException, IOException {
    resp.setContentType("text/plain");
    resp.setCharacterEncoding("UTF-8");
    AsyncContext ac = req.startAsync();
    CounterListener listener =
        new CounterListener(ac, req.getInputStream(), resp.getOutputStream());
}

private static class CounterListener implements ReadListener, WriteListener {
    private final AsyncContext ac;
    private final ServletInputStream sis;
    private final ServletOutputStream sos;
    private volatile boolean readFinished = false;
    private volatile long totalBytesRead = 0;
    private byte[] buffer = new byte[8192];

    private CounterListener(AsyncContext ac, ServletInputStream sis,
                            ServletOutputStream sos) {
        this.ac = ac;
        this.sis = sis;
        this.sos = sos;
        sis.setReadListener(this);
        sos.setWriteListener(this);
    }

    public void onDataAvailable() throws IOException {
        int read = 0;
        while (sis.isReady() && read > -1) {
            read = sis.read(buffer);
            if (read > 0) {
                totalBytesRead += read;
            }
        }
    }

    public void onAllDataRead() throws IOException {
        readFinished = true;
        if (sos.isReady()) {
            onWritePossible();
        }
    }

    public void onWritePossible() throws IOException {
        if (readFinished) {
            String msg = "Total bytes written = [" + totalBytesRead + "]";
            sos.write(msg.getBytes(StandardCharsets.UTF_8));
            ac.complete();
        }
    }

    public void onError(Throwable throwable) {
        ac.complete();
    }
}
Easy to Use

Aims:

• Compare virtual threads with blocking code to thread pool with non-blocking
• Minimise other factors

Examined

• External service that blocked and waited a preset time before continuing
• Service ‘delay’ dominated initial results
Easy to Use

Virtual threads generally a little more performant

Difference more noticeable at low concurrency and when concurrency exceeds processor cores

Performance of blocking code with virtual threads is comparable to refactoring to use non-blocking APIs
Coding constraints
Pinning

Detect it with `-Djdk.tracePinnedThreads=[full|short]`
Logs issues to `stdout` as they are detected

Tomcat experience
- Configured unit tests to run with this detection enabled
- Identified a handful of issues in HTTP/2

Can replace `synchronized` with `ReentrantLock`
- Need to be careful to ensure lock is released
- Make sure all uses of `synchronized` are replaced for a given object
Pinning

Replace

```java
synchronized (lock) {
    ...
}
```

With

```java
Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();
...
lock.lock();
try {
    ...
} finally {
    lock.unlock();
}
```
Threadlocal alternatives

Tomcat uses ThreadLocal for thread-safe caching of objects that are expensive to create

- Matchers in RewriteValve
- RequestDispatcher request mapping
- etc

Options to implement this with virtual threads

- No change, continue to use ThreadLocal
- Always create a new Object
- Cache using SynchronizedStack (or similar)
ThreadLocal alternatives

ThreadLocal
• Should be slower than new Object for virtual threads

new Object
• Lose the benefit of caching
• Is caching still required?

SynchronizedStack
• May be slower under high concurrency
ThreadLocal alternatives

Ran a series of tests

- Non-dispatching request / dispatching request
- 8, 16, 32 & 64 concurrent users (machine under test has 20 cores)
- new Object() / SynchronizedStack / ThreadLocal
- Platform threads / Virtual Threads

Ran each combination for 11 runs of 60 seconds

- Dropped the first result (warm-up)
- Took average of remaining 10

Results were inconclusive

- No clear winner or loser
Conclusions
Conclusions

Applications currently using non-blocking APIs will likely see minimal differences with virtual threads

Applications currently using blocking APIs
  • will likely see minimal throughput differences with virtual threads
  • will likely see measurable scalability improvements with virtual threads

Code changes may be required for:
  • long lasting blocking operations
  • ThreadLocals
Next Steps
Next Steps

Tomcat included virtual thread support in the June 2023 releases

Tomcat 11

• Requires a minimum of Java 21

Tomcat 8.5, 9.0 & 10.1

• No change to minimum Java versions
• Required Java 21 to use virtual threads

Future Tomcat development

• Investigate bottlenecks as they get reported
Questions...
Stay Connected
Discuss all things Tomcat @
https://tomcat.apache.org/lists.html

Visit @ https://tomcat.apache.org

https://github.com/apache/tomcat

For a discussion this week
markt@apache.org
Thank you